FILE COPY

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUL 31 1959
JAMES R. BROWNING, Clerk

No. 268

# Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1959

WALTER C. LOHMAN, JR.,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent.

## PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

J. PAUL PREAR
1322 Germantown Street
Dayton 2, Ohio
Phone: BAldwin 2-8051
*Attorney for Petitioner*

Court Index Press, Inc. — Law Printers — 509 Main Street, Cincinnati 2, O.

# INDEX


| | Page |
|---|---|
| Opinions Below | 1 |
| Jurisdiction | 2 |
| Questions Presented for Review | 2 |
| Statutes Involved | 3 |
| Statement of Facts and the Material Involved | 6 |
| A. Proceedings below | 6 |
| B. The record facts | 9 |
| 1. The Informer Witnesses | 9 |
| 2. Refusal to Permit Laying of Foundation to Impeach | 10 |
| 3. Limitation of Production of Documents | 11 |
| 4. Government's Exhibit L | 11 |
| 5. Materiality and Essential Elements Required to Be Proven by Government | 12 |
| Reasons for Granting the Writ | 15 |
| Conclusion | 24 |
| Appendix— | |
| Opinion of U. S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit | 1a |
| Judgment | 5a |
| Order Denying Rehearing | 5a |

Page

## CASES CITED

American Communications Ass'n. v. Douds, 339 U. S. 382 ..... 12, 15, 21, 22
Bridges v. Wixon, 326 U. S. 135 ..... 23
Burstyn v. Wilson, 343 U. S. 495 ..... 23
Chastleton Corp. v. Sinclair, 264 U. S. 543 ..... 22
Cohen v. U. S., 178 F. 2d 588 ..... 19
Cossack v. U. S., 63 F. 2d 511 ..... 15
Danaher v. U. S., 3[illegible] F. 2d 325 ..... 20
DeJonge v. Oregon, 299 U. S. 353 ..... 23
Freidus v. U. S., 223 U. S. 598 ..... 15
Gordon v. U. S., 344 U. S. 414 ..... 15
Harris v. U. S., 104 F. 2d 41 ..... 20
Hills v. U. S., 97 F. 2d 710 ..... 20
Home Building & Loan Assn. v. Blaisdell, 290 U. S. 398 ..... 22
Jencks v. U. S., 353 U. S. 657 ..... 15
Jones v. Opelika, 316 U. S. 584 ..... 23
Lanzetta v. New Jersey, 306 U. S. 451 ..... 23
Nashville, C. & St. L. Ry. v. Walters, 294 U. S. 405 ..... 22
Rolland v. U. S., 200 F. 2d 678 ..... 15, 19, 20
Saia v. New York, 334 U. S. 558 ..... 23
Sanchez v. U. S., 134 F. 2d 271, cert. denied 319 U. S. 768 ..... 19
Schneider v. State, 308 U. S. 147 ..... 23
Stromberg v. California, 283 U. S. 359 ..... 23
Terry v. U. S., 131 F. 2d 40 ..... 19
Thomas v. Collins, 323 U. S. 516 ..... 23
Thornhill v. Alabama, 310 U. S. 88 ..... 23
Todorow v. U. S., 178 F. 2d 439 ..... 19, 20
U. S. v. Barra, 149 F. 2d 489 ..... 19
U. S. v. Cardiff, 344 U. S. 174 ..... 23
U. S. v. Carolene Products Co., 304 U. S. 144 ..... 22
U. S. v. Cohen Groc. Co., 255 U. S. 81 ..... 23
U. S. v. Hautou, 43 F. Supp. 507 ..... 23
U. S. v. Mellon, 96 F. 2d 462, cert. denied 304 U. S. 586 19
U. S. v. Moore, 185 F. 2d 92 ..... 19, 20
U. S. v. Valenti, 207 F. 2d 242 ..... 19
U. S. v. Remington, 191 F. 2d 246 cert. denied 343 U. S. 907 ..... 22
Winters v. New York, 333 U. S. 507 ..... 23

Page

## CONSTITUTION AND STATUTES

Article 1, Section 9, Clause 3 ..... 3

First Amendment ..... 3

Fourth Amendment ..... 19

Fifth Amendment ..... 3

United States Code:

Title 18, Section 1001 ..... 2, 3, 6, 19

Title 28, Section 1254 ..... 1

Title 18, Section 3500 ..... 3, 4

Title 29, Section 159 (h) (Labor-Management Relations Act, 1947, 61 Stat. 136, Section 9 (h)) ..... 2, 3, 4, 7, 12, 23

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1959

---

No. 268

---

WALTER C. LOHMAN, JR.,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

---

## PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT.

To the Honorable the Chief Justice of the United States and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States of America:

Walter C. Lohman, Jr., the petitioner herein, respectfully prays that a Writ of Certiorari issue to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, entered on the 1st day of June, 1959, wherein petitioner's petition for rehearing on the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, May 5, 1959, affirming petitioner's judgment of conviction on a two count indictment in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, was denied.

## OPINIONS BELOW.

The opinion of the Court of Appeals (A.1) has not yet been reported.